

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/781,224	OSAWA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Brian R. Gordon	1743

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Brian R. Gordon.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward J. Wise.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5, 14-30, and 42-44

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner was unable to speak to applicant personally. However, the examiner left a voice message. The examiner informed applicant that the after final amendment was being considered and upon a completed update search the claims would be addressed accordingly in an office action.